

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,860	02/10/2006	Nobuaki Yagi	0408947392 5562	
9629 7590 11/16/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NW		LOW, LINDSAY M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,860	YAGI, NOBUAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Lindsay M. Low	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Sectors</u>	eptember 2007.	·			
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. *	,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/2007	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's RCE received on September 7th, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement for the same reasons set forth in paragraph 4 of the Final Rejection mailed June 7th, 2007. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant's remarks regarding the features of claims 4 and 5 have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant states that the C-shaped structure with the left and right links 25 and the clincher pressing section is not completely rigid and has a certain amount of flexibility or elasticity to accommodate the desired movement. While examiner understands Applicant's statement of the links being flexible due to a certain amount of elasticity, there is no support for any flexibility of the links anywhere in the disclosure. The only description of the links moving out of phase is described on page 13 of the disclosure with no explanation of how they are able to move out of phase with each other. Therefore, claims 4 and 5 contain subject

Art Unit: 3721

matter that was not described in the disclosure as to enable one skilled in the art to make or use the invention. Due to the level of indefiniteness with regard to claims 4 and 5, action on the merits cannot properly be made at the time.

For the reasons above, the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection is deemed proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Udagawa et al (5,791,543).

Udagawa discloses the same invention including a table link 152 rotatably provided in a base, a paper-pressing table 100 attached to the table link 152, movable clinchers 401 and 402, a fixing pin 101 on a side surface of the table link 152, a fixing plate 152A that is linearly slidable with respect to the fixing pin 101, a driver 321 to drive the staples into paper by pressing against the table 100, clincher links 410 and 411 that rotate to move the clinchers 401 and 402, clinch levers 431 that rotate downward to press the clincher links 410 and 411, and a pressure reducing mechanism (shown in Fig. 22) to reduce the pressure by the clinch levers 431. The pressure reducing mechanism includes a sector shaped cam 514 where the periphery of it is engaged with

Application/Control Number: 10/567,860 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

the clinch lever 431. The sector shaped cam 514 has a stepped portion formed on its periphery as shown in Fig. 22. As the cam is engaged with the clinch levers 431, the pressure is reduced on the clincher links 410 and 411.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al (5,791,543) and admitted prior art for the same reasons set forth in paragraph 9 of the previous office action, *supra*.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been fully considered and are believed to have been addressed above.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/567,860

Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lindsay M. Low whose telephone number is 571-272-1196. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30 to 5:00.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,860

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LML

11/13/2007

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700